

## SYNOPSIS

Estimation of impulse waveform parameters (viz test voltage, front-time, tail-time) from digital data acquired during high voltage impulse tests, particularly, on transformers, cables and reactors pose some difficulties due to presence of superimposed oscillation and/or overshoot. Solutions proposed so far show large scatter in estimated parameters. This is because, procedures in IEC 60060-1 and IEEE Std-4 (originally formulated to suit manual evaluation) are somewhat ambiguous, inconsistent, and so difficult to convert into an algorithm. Motivated by this, an EU funded project (involving five HV labs) was undertaken, whose objectives were-

- 1 Experimentally establish (for air, oil, XLPE and SF<sub>6</sub> media) a correlation between test voltage and the parameters of oscillation/overshoot, under different electric stress and polarity conditions
- 2 Consequently, propose a method for estimating test voltages, valid for all types of lightning impulses
- 3 Eventually, revise IEC 60060-1 and 61083-2, to eliminate inconsistency, empiricism and ambiguity

Obviously, goals set by the project group were quite remarkable. The project finally resulted in a method (called '*k-factor*') for estimation of test voltage of impulses, and involved a filtering-based implementation. Some details of k-factor method were published, on behalf of *CIGRE WG 33-03* in *Electra*, in October 2002, along with a recommendation for revision of IEC 60060-1 and 61083-2.

Subsequently, drawbacks in k-factor method were found and reported in *Letter-to-the-Editor*, in *Electra*, in April 2003, along with a response from the project group. From these two publications (and other internal Working-Group documents), it emerges that the k-factor proposal contains infirmities and so is unacceptable. However, these infirmities are somewhat masked in these publications, either due to brevity imposed or false logic being employed, thereby giving the method an artificial sense of acceptability.

Until date, it is not certain, what the final version of k-factor method would consist of, and the steps involved in its implementation. While on one hand, work still continues to resolve core

issues related to it, on the other hand, efforts are underway to include it into international standards, *perhaps, oblivious of its shortcomings*<sup>1</sup> In anticipation of such a revision, two reputed companies selling HV impulse analysis system have gone ahead to include this method into their existing software, and are ready to sell it<sup>1</sup> Such a contrasting scenario surely necessitates a detailed evaluation of k-factor method to enable identification of its lacunae This was the motivation, as well as, the objective of this thesis This thesis presents a detailed analysis based on an assessment of various aspects that have influenced its formulation, namely,

- Experimental results, Treatment of breakdown data, A single curve representing behaviour of all dielectrics, Myths and realities about filtering, Issues in filter realization, Residual and global filtering, etc

Specific attention is focused on matters related to implementation of k-factor method In fact, the very basis of implementing it as a ‘filtering-operation’ is strongly criticised and it is proved (by simulation) that it can lead to inconsistencies in the definition of k-factor This happens to be one of its major drawbacks Problems arising due to filtering a transient and those associated with obtaining a single mean-curve (by *Levenberg-Marquardt* algorithm) in ‘residual filtering’ scheme, are examined Inconsistency related to definition of overshoot arises when processing practical data, thereby questioning viability of residual-filtering scheme Supported by simulations and studies on practical data, answers to some important questions are attempted, namely,

- 1 Does k-factor method, per se, attain the requirements expected of a standard method?**
- 2. Were initially set goals of removing empiricism, ambiguity etc actually achieved?**
- 3 How the experimental k-factor data could be used more meaningfully?**

In final summary, it emerges that unless all identified drawbacks in k-factor method are adequately resolved, it would be premature to recommend its adoption into IEC standards Details are presented in the thesis